

COLCHESTER LOCAL HIGHWAYS PANEL Minutes of the meeting held on 17 June 2025 - 10.00 ONLINE MEETING: MICROSOFT TEAMS

Chair: County Councillor Sue Lissimore

Panel Members: County Councillors: Simon Crow, Mark Cory

City Councillors: Elizabeth Alake-Akinyemi, Jeremy Hagon

Parish Councillor: Sue Allen Shepherd

Officers: Essex Highways: Jon Simmons – Highways Liaison Officer

Daniel MacLean – Highways Liaison Team Leader

Jane Thompson, Colchester City Council Transport and

Sustainability Joint Lead

Colchester City Council: Richard Clifford – Democratic

Secretariat: Services Manager.

Item		Owner
1.	Welcome and Introductions:	
	The Chairman welcomed Councillors and officers to the meeting including the newly appointed member, Cllr Elizabeth Alake-Akinyemi.	
2.	Apologies for Absence	
	Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Çufoglu, Harris, King, Luxford Vaughan, Scordis and Barber.	
3.	Minutes of the meeting held on the 17 December 2024	
	The minutes of the meeting held on 17 December 2024 were confirmed as a correct record.	



_	,	
4.	Matters Arising from the Minutes of the Previous Meeting	
	None	
	None	
5.	Report on Funded Schemes 2024-25	
	The Panel considered a report which provided an update on the final position of all the schemes which the Panel recommended for inclusion in its 2024/25 programme.	
	The Highways Liaison Team Leader explained that the Local Highway Panel service had been on hold since March 2025 whilst a review by Cabinet was undertaken. Whilst the service was now resuming, it would not process any new schemes and would only look at those schemes which had already been validated and designed. The Cabinet member for Highways was looking at how to fund new schemes which were safety related. The Panel had a budget of £750,000 to fund a two year rolling programme and the Panel was invited to review and prioritise the list of schemes.	
	It was also explained that the Chair's Panel would not be in operation this year and those schemes which had been referred to it were now back for consideration by the Local Highway Panel.	
	In discussion, the Panel sought clarification about what would happen to those schemes on which some work had been done but had not been validated. It was explained that the work done to date would be kept on file and could be used in future if circumstances changed and they could move towards validation. Further clarification on the new processes was awaited from the Cabinet member for Highways, and it was understood that a flow chart clarifying the new processes would soon be available.	
	The Highways Liaison Officer explained the position on those schemes which were not completed. In discussion, some concern was expressed about the cancellation of the Clifton Terce, Wivenhoe scheme, which had been due to be funded through the Chair's Panel. It was suggested that this scheme be retained whilst further options about the use of a ramp were explored. The Highways Liaison Team Leader explained that the scheme no longer fell within the remit of the Panel as the necessary works were outside the adopted highway. The Chair suggested that Councillor Cory seek a meeting with the Cabinet member for Highways, herself and officers to discuss how the scheme could be taken forward.	CIIr Cory



RESOLVED that the following uncompleted schemes, totalling £22,520, continue to be funded through the Local Highway Panel -

- Hythe Quay, Colchester, Pedestrian Crossing
- Chapel Corner B1508 Main Road Wormingford Bus Stop Improvements
- Avon Way near junction with Magnolia, Colchester Crossing Point
- Footpath 5 off Tollgate Road, Stanway, Public Right of Way access improvements

6. Report on Schemes Awaiting Funding

The Panel considered a report setting out the schemes awaiting funding and the Panel were invited to prioritise the schemes, to enable a programme of works to be drawn up. The Highways Liaison Officer explained that the schemes had been divided into two distinct reports -

Report 2A: this detailed schemes that had completed the design phase and were ready for implementation this year and did not require land acquisition or Traffic Regulation Orders.

Report 2B: All other schemes currently awaiting funding.

The Panel considered the schemes in report 2A first, as these were the priority schemes. The Highways Liaison Officer reported that he been contacted by Councillor Barber who had indicated that he did not consider scheme LCOL175003 Stratford Road Dedham to be a priority and there were other schemes he would prefer to see prioritised. Similarly, it was reported Councillor Harris had indicated that he did not see scheme designed under LCOL202040 at Mersea Road junction with Stansted Road as a priority

The Chair expressed some concern about the budget for scheme LCOL202043 Norman Way, Colchester, given that there were no safety issues associated with the site. The primary issue was the narrowness of the slip road which led to minor collisions, but there was no record of any injuries. It was suggested that alternative measures, such as grass crete to extend the parking or improved signage could be explored as an alternative.

The Panel agreed that all the schemes identified in report 2A should be funded through the Local Highway Panel, with the exception of the following, which should be added to the Schemes Awaiting Funding list:-

LCOL175003 Stratford Road Dedham LCOL202040 Mersea Road junction with Stansted Road



LCOL202043 Norman Way, Colchester

The Panel were advised that this left a budget of £478 380 to fund schemes from the Schemes Awaiting Funding List. The Panel considered that it should allocate each of the schemes in the Schemes Awaiting Funding list that were ready for implementation a priority rating of 1 to 5, with 1 being the highest priority. The list would then be circulated to the whole Panel , seeking comments before being finally agreed.

Scheme	Priority Rating	Any comment
Traffic Management		
Hakewill Way, Colchester - Bollards	5	The Chair thought that there may have been a decision taken that Local Highway Panels would not consider schemes relating to bollards, but the Senior Highways Liaison Officer confirmed that other Panels had funded bollard schemes.
Dedham Parish – traffic management improvements Little Horkesley – Pedestrian warning signs 1.Ponders Road, 2. Fossett's Lane 3. Rams Farm Road 4.	1	Dedham Parish Council should be invited to contribute.
Fossett's Lane Fordham – Quiet Lanes School Lane, Great Horkesley – Quiet	1	
Lane London Road/Coach Road, Great Horkesley – Warning Signs	1	
Scarletts Road j/w Belmont Place, Colchester – Road Marking	2	



East Me East Me Signage	rsea Road, rsea –	2	
		5	
Swan St Gateway	reet – ′	5	
St Johns	Road j/w llchester –	3	
	ne Grove rking	2	Highways Liaison Officer to check scheme falls within the Panel's remit.
Plenty	venue – 20s	1	
New Chu Parking changes	_	2	
Church I Traffic M Improve	lanagement	3	
Baden P – Signs	owell Drive	2	
Whiteha KEEP C	ll Road – LEAR	3	
Orpen's Gateway	1	5	The Panel noted that part of the scheme was for cutting back vegetation, which was an issue for the contractor to address.
Rectory VAS/Tra Managei improvei	ffic ment	-	The Parish Council should be encouraged to apply for funding for a VAS.
Argents Water D Markers		-	Senior Highways Liaison Officer was asked to check the estimated cost of the scheme with seemed high for the work required.



Mersea Road –	2	
Bend Sign Lanes		
Bridge 1413,	2	
Newbridge Hill,		
West Bergholt TRO		
Review		
Walking Schemes	1	
Boxted Primary	2	
School – Traffic		
Management		
Improvements		
Stansted Road j/w	3	It was suggested
Queen Elzabeth		that the views of the
Way, Colchester –		ward councillor
Dropped Kerbs		should be sought.
Warren Lane near	4	
Joe Firmin Close,		
Stanway – Warning		
Signs		
Church Lane,	1	
Colchester –		
Pedestrian Crossing		
Improvements		
Wren Close to	4	It was suggested
Warren Lane,		that the views of the
Stanway – Barriers		ward councillor
		should be sought.
Harrison Road -	3	
Dropped Kerb/tactile		
pavement		
Upland Drive –	1	
pedestrian guard		
railing		T. D.
Chapel Road, Great		The Panel
Tey, Footway		considered there
Maldon Road,		was insufficient
Tiptree – new		information on these
footway		schemes, given the
Hythe quay –		funding required. Further more
Pedestrian crossing		
improvements		detailed reports would be submitted
		to the Panel in due
		course, including accident statistics.
Cyalina Cahamas		accident statistics.
Cycling Schemes	5	<u> </u>
Spring Lane roundabout – Traffic	3	
roundabout traffic		



	T	
management		
improvements		
Cowdray Avenue,	2	
Colchester – Cycle		
route improvements		
Monkwick	-	It was agreed that
Footway/Cycleway		the scheme should
Phase 2/3		be put on hold. It
		was suggested by
		member of the
		Panel that a better
		scheme was
	4	required.
Passenger Transpor		
Blue Row, East	5	
Mersea Road, West		
Mersea – Infrastructure		
Upgrade The Wells Spring	5	
The Walk , Spring	3	
Lane, Eight Ash Green –		
Infrastructure		
Upgrade		
A134 Nayland Road,	5	
j/w London Road,		
Great Horkesley –		
Bus Stop		
Improvements		
A1124 Colchester	5	
Road – Bus Stops		
Stratford Road.	5	
Dedham – TRO		
revision		
Public Rights of Way	/	I
Cambridge Road,		Hold whilst
Footpath 198 –		alternative solutior
Surface		to address the
Improvements		uneven footpath
		surface were looke
		at.
Bridleway 25 –	2	
Cross Lane, West		
Mersea - Signage		

The Panel sought further clarity on what would happen to those schemes not at the implementation stage. The Chair agreed to write to Cabinet member for Highways to seek clarity on this to ensure the schemes were not lost. Clarification was also sought on what would

Cllr Lissim ore



happen if members were contacted with petitions about old schemes
that had previously been reused funding. The Highways Liaison
Officer explained that they would be referred to the petitions team
but would not necessarily be reopened.

The Panel noted the list of schemes that did not meet the criteria. It was highlighted that in respect of the Chitts Hill scheme, that Holmwood House School was incorrectly described as a boarding school in the description of the scheme. A Panel member expressed surprise that the Peace Road scheme feasibility study did not recommend a 20mph speed limit. This was supported by residents and both City Council and County Council members. The Highways Liaison Officer undertook to share the Feasibility Study with the relevant member.

Highw ays Liaiso n Officer

RESOLVED that -

(a) all the schemes identified in report 2A should be funded through the Local Highway Panel, with the exception of the following, which should be added to the Schemes Awaiting Funding list -

LCOL175003 Stratford Road Dedham LCOL202040 Mersea Road junction with Stansted Road LCOL202043 Norman Way, Colchester

(b) the prioritised list of Schemes Awaiting Funding be circulated to the whole Panel, in order for members to make any representations, before the list of prioritised schemes was agreed. Higwh ays Liaiso n Offcier

9. Date of next meeting:

Via Teams:

- 16 September 2025 10:00
- 16 December 2025 10.00
- 17 March 2026 10:00