Jaywick Sands to Clacton consultation Nov 2021

The consultation ran from 29/11/2021 to 20/12/2021

Responses to this survey: 70

1: Do you support this proposal?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Yes | 63 | 89.86% |
| No | 7 | 10.14% |
| Not Answered | 0 | 0.00% |

2: Would you like a cycle connection with Broadway at the junction of Beach Way/Meadow Way into Tamarisk Way and then along to Brooklands including reallocation of road space and installation of speed reduction measures?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Yes | 61 | 86.96% |
| No | 9 | 13.04% |
| Not Answered | 0 | 0.00% |

3: Would you like to see both the improvements suggested above (connecting the route along the sea wall and measures such as speed reduction connecting Broadway)?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Both | 52 | 75.36% |
| Just the sea wall link transformation | 11 | 15.94% |
| Just Broadway link with speed reduction measures | 6 | 7.25% |
| Not Answered | 1 | 1.45% |

4: To what extent do you agree or disagree that our proposals will encourage and help additional travel along this section of the route?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|----------------|-------|---------|
| Strongly agree | 39 | 56.52% |
| Agree | 23 | 33.33% |
| Neutral | 2 | 1.45% |

| Disagree | 3 | 4.35% |
|-------------------|---|-------|
| Strongly disagree | 2 | 2.90% |
| Not Answered | 1 | 1.45% |

5: Would these proposals persuade you to travel more along this route?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--|-------|---------|
| Yes - the improvements will make it safer | 47 | 66.67% |
| Yes - there is more space devoted for cyclists, walkers, mobility scooters or wheelchair users | 28 | 40.58% |
| Yes - it will be quicker than driving | 7 | 10.14% |
| Yes - it will improve my health | 12 | 17.39% |
| Not Answered | 8 | 11.59% |

| Option | Total | Percent |
|---|-------|---------|
| No - still feels unsafe | 4 | 5.80% |
| No - lack of confidence/can't cycle | 0 | 0.00% |
| No - route not relevant to my journeys | 1 | 1.45% |
| No - I would want complete segregation from traffic | 2 | 2.90% |
| No –still not accessible to me | 0 | 0.00% |
| Not Answered | 63 | 91.30% |

6: Please provide any other comments about the proposal There were **28 responses** to this part of the question which can be themed as follows:

<u>Condition of cycleway</u> – the pathway is mostly covered in sand..... full of bad pot holes.... needs adequate lighting

<u>Accessibility concerns</u> – there needs to be a safe wheelchair accessible point at either Badminton or The Close onto the seawall cycle route.

<u>Safety concerns</u> – double lanes are needed along the seawall. Green for traffic with wheels and red along the houses for pedestrians so that residents don't get hit by cyclists..... I hope this goes ahead my worst fear are the roads I do not feel safe anymore.... my son's dog was killed by speeding driver along Brooklands (sea front) any traffic calming measures would be welcome, as would a cycle/disability scooter lane...... i don't agree with a cycle track on the prom . I have been hit 3 times by cyclists, my grandson nearly got killed a couple of years ago and one of my dogs was hit and hurt..... Brooklands is the most dangerous bit for pedestrians and cyclists, it shouldn't be two-

way and should be better lit..... Parked cars along Broadway make cycling a hazardous and very dangerous experience, cars go far too fast with little respect for cyclists..... I will definitely cycle more if I know there is a safe cycle route to use, roads can be very dangerous the majority of motorists are not very considerate to cyclists they drive much too fast.

<u>Misc</u> – the path should be extended along to St Osyth.... This would improve the area and atract more visitors to the area which would be great for Jaywick..... This will be fantastic for all bike users.

7: Does accessibility infrastructure limitations reduce your access and opportunities?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Yes | 41 | 59.42% |
| No | 27 | 39.13% |
| Not Answered | 1 | 1.45% |

8: Would you support, funding-permitting, the installation/improvement of accessibility ramps at any of the below locations?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Yes | 61 | 88.41% |
| No | 6 | 8.70% |
| Not Answered | 2 | 2.90% |

9: If you said yes, please state your top three preferences by marking numbers 1,2 and 3 against your three preferred locations.

Ranking of '1.2.3 ranking'



| Item | Ranking |
|------------------|---------|
| The Close | 1.36 |
| Sea Rosemary Way | 1.12 |
| Sea Glebe Way | 0.87 |
| Sea Flowers Way | 0.68 |
| Sea Lavender Way | 0.38 |
| Sea Thistle Way | 0.38 |

10: Do you think this would this have a positive impact for local people?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Yes | 63 | 89.86% |
| No | 5 | 7.25% |
| Not Answered | 2 | 2.90% |

11: Is there anything you would like to tell us about your accessibility concerns in this area?

There were **36 responses** to this part of the question which can be themed as follows:

<u>Condition of cycleway/ramp</u> – sand.... the uneven surface between The Close and Clacton..... All the roads near the seafront in Jaywick are woefully inadequate and in dire need of improvements...

Ramps need to be accessible from rubbish and sand-free..... we need dropped kerbs at every road junction NOT just halfway down the roads.... The sea wall is narrow on a couple of places, if this could be improved then it would make things safer.... The slopes need to be not as steep as the two that are already there as they are impossible to pretend a wheelchair up on your own ,and coming down the chair pulls you down too fast, they are way too steep.

<u>Location of ramp</u> - why has Badminton Road not been included in the options? It has more room allowing for a more flexible slope, easier installation and no close proximity of houses... Positioning of ramp – would be better at Badminton. The Close is too high...

Badminton Road would be better for a gentle slope as there is more room and it is low. Also its closer for the residents coming from Tudor estate and Grove residential home.

<u>Safety concerns</u> - there are only 2 routes for disabled and elderly residents to get into town without driving. Both are highly dangerous which means they cannot safely access services like shops and hospital. By adding a safe access ramp to the seawall these residents and parents with pushchairs and toddlers on bikes (so about 60% of jaywick population) can SAFELY access town centre and public spaces. West road is the only other route and it is highly dangerous for wheelchairs and scooters (mobility) as well as those with walking difficulties. Road cycle routes are dangerous for people autism and behaviour and sight impairment so a road route would not be as safe as a seawall route for anyone..... Currently dangerous for pedestrians who meet cyclists etc without warning at the top of the slope from The Close.

| Option | Total | Percent |
|--------------|-------|---------|
| Under 16 | 0 | 0.00% |
| 16-34 | 9 | 13.04% |
| 35-54 | 28 | 40.58% |
| 55-74 | 31 | 43.48% |
| Over 75 | 1 | 1.45% |
| Not Answered | 1 | 1.45% |

12: What age category are you?

13: How did you hear about this survey?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| Social media | 36 | 50.72% |
| Online | 16 | 23.19% |
| Newspaper | 1 | 1.45% |
| Word of mouth | 11 | 15.94% |
| Not Answered | 10 | 14.49% |

14: Do you consider yourself to have an impairment?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|
| Physical Impairment | 23 | 33.33% |
| Sensory Impairment | 1 | 1.45% |
| Learning Difficulty or Disability | 4 | 5.80% |
| Mental Health Needs | 12 | 17.39% |
| No Impairment | 36 | 50.72% |
| Not Answered | 1 | 1.45% |

15: What district do you live in?

| Option | Total | Percent |
|---------------|-------|---------|
| Basildon | 0 | 0.00% |
| Braintree | 0 | 0.00% |
| Brentwood | 0 | 0.00% |
| Castle Point | 0 | 0.00% |
| Chelmsford | 0 | 0.00% |
| Colchester | 2 | 2.90% |
| Epping Forest | 0 | 0.00% |
| Harlow | 0 | 0.00% |
| Maldon | 0 | 0.00% |
| Rochford | 0 | 0.00% |
| Tendring | 59 | 85.51% |
| Uttlesford | 0 | 0.00% |
| Not Answered | 8 | 11.59% |